

PATENT ##C

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of Nordin

Appln. No. 09/701,598

Filed: April 16, 2001

For: CLOTH FOR A DRY MOP

Group Art Unit: 1771

: Examiner: C.C. Pratt

Dated: September 5, 2003

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR REINSTATEMENT

Sir:

Attorneys for the above-identified applicant received a Notice of Abandonment mailed August 27, 2003 for failure to respond to an Office letter mailed December 1, 2002 (please note, however, that the action has a mailing date of December 5, 2002 and we enclose a copy of same herewith). However, a response to said Office Action was mailed to the Patent and Trademark Office on April 4, 2003 along with an Extension Petition.

Attached hereto are copies of the Amendment, Extension Petition, and a postcard indicating receipt in the Patent and Trademark Office mailroom on April 9, 2003. Additionally, the documents bear a Certificate of Mailing dated April 4, 2003.

It is therefore believed that evidence has been submitted to establish that a response to the Office Action dated December 5, 2002 was timely filed, and is therefore respectfully requested that prosecution on the above-identified application be reopened.

If there are any fees due in connection with this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 12-1095.

Respectfully submitted,

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP

ARNOLD H. KRUMHOLZ Reg. No. 25,428

600 South Avenue West Westfield, New Jersey 07090 (908) 654-5000 Fax (908) 654-7866

450128_1.DOC

PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT BY RETURNING THIS CARD

Via: First Class Mail

Atty Docket No.:

ALBIHN W 3.3-397

Due Date: March 5, 2003

Title:

CLOTH FOR A DRY MOP

Application No.:

09/701,598

Documents Filed:

Amendment Transmittal (1 page)

Amendment (7 pages)

Petition for One-Month Extension of Time (1 page)



Sender's Initials: RG/epm

423250_1.DOC

£...

Mailing Date: April 4, 2003



LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST
WESTFIELD, NEW JERSEY 07090-1497

i & Madadhadallaadhdallaladdallaladd

PTC/S8/22 (10-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless if displays a valid OMB control number.

Dockart No. (Ontional)

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) ALBIHN W 3.3-397							
In re Application of Rudolf Nordin							
	Application Number Filed						
	09/701,598			April 16, 2001			
	For: CLOTH FOR A DRY MOP						
	Group Art Unit	1771	Examiner				
This is a request under the provisions reply in the above identified application	of 37 CFR 1.136(a) to 1.	extend the po	eriod for filis	ng a			
The requested extension and appropria (check time period desired):	ate non-small-entity fe	e are as follow	NS				
X One month (37 CFR 1.17)	(a)(1))			\$ 110.00			
Two months (37 CFR 1.17	7(a)(2))			\$			
Three months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(3))							
Four months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(4))				\$			
Five months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(5))				\$			
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Therefore, the fee amount shown							
above is reduced by one-half, and the resulting fee is: \$							
A check in the amount of the fe	e is enclosed.						
Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.							
The Commissioner has already in this application to a Deposit A	been authorized to ch Account.	arge fees					
The Commissioner is hereby at any overpayment, to Deposit A	uthorized to charge an	y fees which i		uired, or credit			
I have enclosed a duplicate cop		12 1000		•			
I am the applicant/inventor.	•						
	of the entire interest. er 37 CFR 3.73(b) is e			3/96).			
Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96). x attorney or agent of record.							
. attorney or agent u	ınder 37 CFR 1.34(a).						
Registration numb	er if acting under 37 CFR	1.34(a)					
April 4, 2003							
Date			Si	gnature			
				d Garguilo, Jr. Printed Name			
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or ass if more than one signature is required, see b		interest or their	••				
1 (1000,000,000	and .						
421077_1.DOC forms are subm							
-							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is b	eing deposited with the U.	S. Postál Servic	e with sufficie	ent postage as First Clase Mail, in			
an envelope addressed to: Commissioner fo	or Patenta, Washington, D	20231, of the	date shown	below.			



United States Patent and Trademark Office

INITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE dised Sustan Patons and Tredemark Office deves Commercial Comm

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/7 01,598	04/16/2001 590 12/05/2002	Rudolf Nordin	ALBIHNW-397 5603		
Lerner David Littenberg Krumholz & Mentlik 600 South Avenue West Westfield, NJ 07090		Our 2019	EXAMINER PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C		
		B. W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		\$5 PLA	1771 DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

LDLK&M
DEC 0 9 2002
RECEIVED

Insert new claims 13 and 14, as follows:

a second surface for cleaning soiled surfaces comprising a micro- or ultramicro-fiber having a count of from 0.60 to 0.25 DTEX per fiber throughout both said first surface and said second surface, said loops having a number of loops per unit of area, a yarn thickness and a loop height proportioned so that when the fabric is pressed against the surface underneath it the loops remain upright or lay in an angle of no more than 45 degrees to an imaginary vertical line.

14. (NEW) A dry mop according to claim 13, wherein the height of the loops is 3-9 mm.

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the outstanding Office Action dated December 5, 2002. Claims 4-12 were rejected under the nonstatutory double patenting objection based on a judicially created doctrine in view of Nordin, U.S Patent No. 5,804,274 ("the '274 patent"). Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection and requests reconsideration allowance of claims 4-12 that are pending above-identified patent application, as well as claims 13-14. Applicant has amended claim 4 and added claims 13 and 14. No new matter has been added by these changes.

The Examiner also rejects claims 4-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nordin (WO 96/10946) ("PCT"). The Examiner essentially contends that Nordin discloses a mop comprised of microfibers having a DTEX below 1. The Examiner further admits that Nordin does not specifically teach loop height; however, the Examiner contends that it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the length of the loops in order to optimize friction, brushing, and accumulation properties of the mop.

Claim 4, as amended, discloses a dry mop with a first and second surface comprised of ultra-micro fibers having a count of 0.25 to 0.60 DTEX per fiber throughout the entire mop. The advantage of having a DTEX throughout the entire mop of less than 1 is that the yarn thickness is a factor which enables the fabric to remain upright when a downward force is placed against In contrast the '274 patent specifically states that: "The loops 3 having a longer length consist of yarn with a more normal fibre fineness, i.e. substantially above 1 Dtex." column 2, lines 60-62.) The PCT application also specifically discloses this factor at page 2, lines 31-33. Thus, the PCT and the '274 patent do not disclose a yarn

thickness that would enable the loops to remain vertically upright when a downward force is placed upon the mop.

Applicant has added new claims 13 and 14 and submits that these claims are patentable over the cited art of record. independent claim 13 and dependent claim 14 not disclose a dry mop fabric having a first surface and second surface comprised of micro or ultramicro-fiber have a count of at a maximum 0.60 DTEX per fiber, but also include the further limitation wherein loops are so proportioned when the fabric is pressed against a surface underneath that the loops remain upright or lay at an angle of no more than 45 degrees to an imaginary vertical line. The dry mop fabric has the ability to maintain this vertical upright position due to the fact that the woven or knitted loops are proportioned so that the loops remain upright or lay at the angle of no more than 45 degrees to an imaginary vertical line. This result is achieved by varying several factors including the number of loops per unit area, the yarn thickness and the loop height proportion. Significantly, in order for the loops to remain upright, each loop on both the first surface and second surface must be substantially the same Support for this can be found at paragraph [0016] of length. the present application.

Conversely, neither Nordin, U.S. Patent No. 5,804,274 or Nordin (WO 96/10946) are able to achieve or teach loops that remain upright or lay at an angle of no more than 45 degrees to an imaginary vertical line when a force is exerted downward on the mop against a surface. This is due to the fact that both the '274 patent and the PCT application both disclose a mop having large and small loops on their first and second surfaces. (See page 5, lines 1-10 of the PCT application; see column 2, lines 57-65 of the '274 patent.) The long loops in the PCT and the '274 patent are not able to be supported in an upright

position due to the fact that shorter loops are intertwined between each of the longer loops. As compared to the present application, each loop is of similar size, thus can be supported by adjacent loops while a downward force is placed upon the mop.

A propulsive effect of the dirt particles or other impurities arises because of the position, flatness, closeness of the fibers across the entire surface of the mop and the fact that they remain in this position while a downward force is placed upon the mop, and it moves forward and backward. A relatively high loop height combined with the collectively large fiber surface contributes to the mop's ability to accumulate a large quantity of grime or dirt particles. The cleaning action is thus highly effective because of microfiber's extreme softness, the length and closeness of the loops and the count and surface dimensions of the fibers. though the fibers are soft and the loops are long, the loops will still not be flattened out because they support each other owning to their closeness and similar size. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claims 4-14 are in condition for allowance. For the reasons set forth above, the rejections in the Office Action are respectfully traversed.

As it is believed that all of the rejections set forth in the Official Action have been fully met, favorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification and claims by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned "Version with markings to show changes made".

If, however, for any reason the Examiner does not believe that such action can be taken at this time, it is respectfully requested that he telephone applicant's attorney at

(908) 654-5000 in order to overcome any additional objections which he might have.

If there are any additional charges in connection with this requested amendment, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: April 4, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Raymond Garguilo, Jr.

Registration No. 50,930 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,

KRUMHOLZ & MENTELIK, LLP

600 South Avenue West

Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000

Attorneys for Applicant

420226_1.DOC

Version With Markings to Show Chang s Made

IN THE CLAIMS:

4. (AMENDED) A dry mop fabric having a first surface and a second surface for cleaning soiled surfaces comprising a micro- or ultramicro-fiber having a count of from at a maximum 0.60 to at a minimum 0.25 DTEX per fiber, said fiber being woven so as to provide loops on at least one of said first and second surfaces, said loops having a height of from 3 to 9 mm.

420226_1.DOC